

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/883,703	06	/18/2001	Craig Carroll	SCP 00.01	1038	
27667	7590	03/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HAYES, SO			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, TAI T		
130 W. CUSHING STREET TUCSON, AZ 85701				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2632	-	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		C_1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/883,703	CARROLL, CRAIG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tai T. Nguyen	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. The areply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 October 2004.					
· _	This action is non-final.					
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,8-14,16,19 and 20</u> is/are p. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5, 8-14,16,19 and 20</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration. s/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Britannian of the certified copies of the application from the International Britannian of the certified copies of the application from the International Britannian of the certified copies of the application from the International Britannian of the certified copies of the application from the International Britannian of the certified copies of the application from the International Britannian of the certified copies of the application from the International Britannian of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certi	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been	Application No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	` ` ' '	t received.				
Attachment(s)	🗖					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	4) L Interview 8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/883,703

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 8-11, 16, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murray et al. (US 5,086,290) in view of Davies (US 4,924,211).

Regarding claim 1, Murray et al. disclose an identification system (figures 1-2) comprising:

a plurality of transmitters (10, col. 1, lines 28-53), each transmitter being configured to transmit only a single unique coded signal comprising a unique identification code corresponding only to the transmitter (col. 2, lines 13-16); and

at least one receiver (24, figure 2) being configured to receive only one signal whereby to establish a comparison indication based on comparison on the unique identification code with a unique reference code, wherein the receiver is programmed to respond positively to the unique identification code that matches the receiver with only one of the transmitters (claim 4). Murray et al. disclose everything claimed except for the specific use of a plurality of receivers and each of the receivers having a programmable memory for storing the unique reference code, capable of holding information regarding the location of the receiver and each receiver including a user

Application/Control Number: 09/883,703

Art Unit: 2632

interface configured to program the memory. Davies teaches a plurality of receivers (10a, 10b, 14) responding to a plurality of transmitters (12a, 12b), each receiver and transmitter having a unique programmable identification code corresponding to each other respectively (col. 5, lines 4-20), wherein the receiver comprises a programmable memory (98) for storing an unique local identification code related to the receiver (col. 5, lines 5-48) and each receiver includes a user interface (80) configured to program the memory (figure 1; col. 6, lines 40-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the plurality of receivers and user interface as taught by Davies in the system disclosed by Murray et al. for the purpose of enabling the system to be used with a plurality of independent users and programming an identification code in the memory to correspond to each individual user.

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Murray et al. disclose positive indication if the unique code transmitted corresponds to the code received (see abstract).

Regarding claim 3, Murray et al. disclose the system providing no output if the unique code transmitted does not correspond to the code received (see abstract).

Regarding claim 5, Murray et al. disclose the at least one receiver having a controller (34) communicating with an indicator (36, 38, 40) providing an indication based on the comparison of the unique identification code with the unique reference code stored in memory (col. 4, lines 3-28).

Regarding claims 8-9, Murray et al. disclose everything claimed except for the receivers being mounted to a fixed structure/wall. Davies teaches the receivers being

Application/Control Number: 09/883,703 Page 4

Art Unit: 2632

mounted within a house (figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the receivers to wall of the house for the purpose of rigidly fixing the monitor location.

Regarding claim 11, refer to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 16, refer to claim 5 above.

Regarding claims 19-20, refer to claims 8-9 above.

3. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murray et al. (US 5,086,290) in view of Davies (US 4,924,211) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Radomsky et al. (US 6,211,790).

Regarding claim 12, Murray et al., as modified, disclose everything claimed except for the transmitter being coupled to an identification band coupled to an associated infant. Radomsky et al. teach a transmitter (400) being coupled to an identification band (304) coupled to an associated infant (figure 11; col. 10, lines 10-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the transmitter of Murray et al., as modified, could have been used with an infant, as suggested by Radomsky et al. for the purpose of monitoring an infant.

Regarding claim 13, refer to claim 2 above.

Regarding claim 14, refer to claim 3 above.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/10/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Davies et al. fail to teach the programmable memory for storing the unique reference code being capable of holding information regarding the location of the receiver. Examiner does not agree. Davies et al. teach each unit being disposed in a home and including an identification code arranged to define a local identification code for each individual receiver, see col. 5, lines 5-48.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,703

Art Unit: 2632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm..

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tai T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2632

March 1, 2005